

ORDER FOR SUPPLIES OR SERVICES

PAGE OF PAGES

1

11

IMPORTANT: Mark all packages and papers with contract and/or order numbers.

1. DATE OF ORDER 07/25/2012		2. CONTRACT NO. (If any) EP-W-11-011		6. SHIP TO: a. NAME OF CONSIGNEE HPOD	
3. ORDER NO. 0018		4. REQUISITION/REFERENCE NO. See Schedule			
5. ISSUING OFFICE (Address correspondence to) HPOD US Environmental Protection Agency Headquarters Procurement Operations Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington DC 20460				b. STREET ADDRESS US Environmental Protection Agency Headquarters Procurement Operations Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW	
				c. CITY Washington	e. ZIP CODE 20460
7. TO: NA				f. SHIP VIA	
a. NAME OF CONTRACTOR CH2M HILL INC.				8. TYPE OF ORDER	
b. COMPANY NAME				<input type="checkbox"/> a. PURCHASE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b. DELIVERY REFERENCE YOUR: _____ Please furnish the following on the terms and conditions specified on both sides of this order and on the attached sheet, if any, including delivery as indicated.	
c. STREET ADDRESS 9191 S JAMAICA STREET				Except for billing instructions on the reverse, this delivery order is subject to instructions contained on this side only of this form and is issued subject to the terms and conditions of the above-numbered contract.	
d. CITY Englewood		e. STATE CO	f. ZIP CODE 80112		
9. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA See Schedule				10. REQUISITIONING OFFICE Office of Administrator	

11. BUSINESS CLASSIFICATION (Check appropriate box(es)) <input type="checkbox"/> a. SMALL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b. OTHER THAN SMALL <input type="checkbox"/> c. DISADVANTAGED <input type="checkbox"/> d. WOMEN-OWNED <input type="checkbox"/> e. HUBZone <input type="checkbox"/> f. SERVICE-DISABLED VETERAN-OWNED <input type="checkbox"/> g. WOMEN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS (WOSB) ELIGIBLE UNDER THE WOSB PROGRAM <input type="checkbox"/> h. EDWOSB				12. F.O.B. POINT Destination	
13. PLACE OF a. INSPECTION Destination		b. ACCEPTANCE Destination		14. GOVERNMENT B/L NO.	
				15. DELIVER TO F.O.B. POINT ON OR BEFORE (Date)	
				16. DISCOUNT TERMS	

17. SCHEDULE (See reverse for Rejections)

ITEM NO. (a)	SUPPLIES OR SERVICES (b)	QUANTITY ORDERED (c)	UNIT (d)	UNIT PRICE (e)	AMOUNT (f)	QUANTITY ACCEPTED (g)
	DUNS Number: (b)(4) TO 0018: Linking Economic Competitiveness and Smart Growth in Small Communities, Towns, and Rural Communities TOPO: ADHIR KACKAR Max Expire Date: 03/04/2013 Continued ...					

SEE BILLING INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE	18. SHIPPING POINT		19. GROSS SHIPPING WEIGHT		20. INVOICE NO.		17(h) TOTAL (Cont. pages)
	21. MAIL INVOICE TO:						
	a. NAME RTP Finance Center						\$0.00
	b. STREET ADDRESS (or P.O. Box) US Environmental Protection Agency RTP-Finance Center (D143-02) 109 TW Alexander Drive						
c. CITY Durham		d. STATE NC	e. ZIP CODE 27711		\$32,109.68		17(i) GRAND TOTAL

22. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY (Signature)

23. NAME (Typed)
Jody Gosnell
TITLE: CONTRACTING/ORDERING OFFICER

ORDER FOR SUPPLIES OR SERVICES
SCHEDULE - CONTINUATION

PAGE NO
2

IMPORTANT: Mark all packages and papers with contract and/or order numbers.

DATE OF ORDER 07/25/2012	CONTRACT NO. EP-W-11-011	ORDER NO. 0018
-----------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------

ITEM NO. (a)	SUPPLIES/SERVICES (b)	QUANTITY ORDERED (c)	UNIT (d)	UNIT PRICE (e)	AMOUNT (f)	QUANTITY ACCEPTED (g)
0001	<p>Admin Office: HPOD US Environmental Protection Agency Headquarters Procurement Operations Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington DC 20460 Period of Performance: 07/25/2012 to 09/30/2012</p> <p>Technical Assistance for "Linking Economic Competitiveness and Smart Growth in Small Communities, Towns and Rural Communities" in accordance with the attached Statement of Work and the Contractor's proposal dated June 13, 2012. Requisition No: PR-OA-12-00160, PR-OA-12-00247</p> <p>Accounting Info: 12-13-B-11W-301MA4-2505-1211W21072-001 BFY: 12 EFY: 13 Fund: B Budget Org: 11W Program (PRC): 301MA4 Budget (BOC): 2505 DCN - Line ID: 1211W21072-001 Funding Flag: Partial Funded: \$24,859.12</p> <p>Accounting Info: 12-13-B-11W-301M43-2505-1211W21102-001 BFY: 12 EFY: 13 Fund: B Budget Org: 11W Program (PRC): 301M43 Budget (BOC): 2505 DCN - Line ID: 1211W21102-001 Funding Flag: Partial Funded: \$7,250.56</p> <p>The obligated amount of award: \$32,109.68. The total for this award is</p>					
TOTAL CARRIED FORWARD TO 1ST PAGE (ITEM 17(H))					\$0.00	

Statement of Work
Contract Number:
RFO number: 18

- I. TITLE: Linking Economic Competitiveness and Smart Growth in Small Communities, Towns and Rural Communities
- II. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE:
From: Date of Award to September 30, 2012
- III. BACKGROUND:

A community's land use and infrastructure decisions are shaped in part by economic development. Many smaller cities, towns, and regions across the country have experienced major shifts in their economic well-being over the last several decades. Generally, these communities are beginning to realize that their traditional economic reason for being, be it agriculture, manufacturing or another industry, does not support the town as it once did. The result has been disinvestment in these communities, with many residents choosing to pursue new opportunities away from their hometowns.

These shifts have manifest themselves physically, as small cities, towns and rural communities have experienced a decline and disinvestment in their historic commercial districts (i.e Main Streets) and often seen new investment result in the haphazard loss of open space and working lands, as well as a significant shift away from their traditional development patterns. In many instances, the environmental impact of this change has contributed to a rise in vehicle miles traveled, the emergence of vacant, abandoned and brownfield properties, watershed wide fragmentation of open and green spaces, and resulting increases in stormwater runoff.

Yet some communities have been able to reinvent themselves – often by capitalizing on unique assets in their currently declining primary economy (buildings, rail lines, location in the region, a port or river, etc.) – and creating a new economic reason for being based on these assets.

EPA's Office of Sustainable Communities has identified numerous examples of where communities -- small cities, town, and regions -- have adopted new economic visions based on their unique assets and have started to rebuild their economies in ways that better meet today's changing market. (A list of these communities and places is included as Attachment A: List of Potential Case Studies). Most of the successful cases demonstrate that quality of place matters in attracting and growing the workforce necessary to implement a new economic vision, and may be linked to larger economic trends within their region. High end

manufacturing, the technology sector, educational institutions, the arts, and medical research facilities are all central to the new and growing economy.

Many of these communities are adopting smart growth and sustainable community approaches to land use to support the economic visions they have in place or are putting in place. Many are not. These case studies, to be written via this scope, will identify economic development themes and approaches to adopting those themes, and demonstrate why the smart growth and sustainable community approaches are good for the economic and fiscal bottom lines of these communities. These are approaches that will help communities facing similar challenges become “unstuck” in their revitalization efforts. By linking long-term economic growth with smart growth approaches, communities will realize better economic, environmental, community, and public health results.

IV. PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVE:

EPA’s Office of Sustainable Communities has identified numerous examples of communities that have retooled their economy and employed smart growth approaches in the process of doing so.

EPA is requesting contractor assistance for the following activities:

- Research and write up to 15 case studies (between two (2) and three (3) pages long and not publication ready), illustrating how small cities, towns and rural communities have reinvented their economies and integrated smart growth into their economic approaches.
- Research case studies and identify six (6) to eight (8) themes that characterize successful economic transformation efforts in rural communities, small towns and small cities.

This research will help EPA identify a methodology to help communities assess their economic assets while utilizing smart growth approaches, thus getting better environmental results and more economically competitive in the process. The results of this research and the case studies shall be used by EPA as background material for further investigation and analysis.

V. QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA) REQUIREMENTS:

Check [] Yes if the following is required or [X] NO if the following is not required. The Contractor shall submit with their technical proposal a written Quality Assurance Project Plan for any project that is developing environmental measurements or a Quality Assurance Supplement to the Quality Management Plan for any project which generates environmental data using models.

VI. TASKS AND DELIVERABLES:

The TOPO will review all deliverables in draft form and provide revisions and/or comments to the contractor. The Contractor shall prepare the final deliverables incorporating the TOPO's comments. The Contractor shall provide the TOPO with electronic versions of all deliverables. Deliverables shall be submitted as Microsoft Word documents or in another format that can be easily edited by EPA.

Contractor personnel shall at all times identify themselves as Contractor employees and shall not present themselves as EPA employees. Furthermore, they shall not represent the views of the U.S. Government, EPA, or its employees. In addition, the Contractor shall not engage in inherently governmental activities, including but not limited to actual determination of EPA policy and preparation of documents on EPA letterhead.

Task 1: Kick-off Call and progress updates calls with EPA (II A. Research and Policy Analysis, Page 1-9)

The Contractor shall participate in a kick-off call within 14 calendar days of the award of this contract. The purpose of the kick-off call (approximately one hour in length) will be to clarify and discuss project objectives, identify first five (5) case studies to draft and establish a schedule for regular conference calls between the Contractor and EPA.

The Contractor shall use the remaining conference calls to provide regular updates to EPA on project progress and allow for EPA to provide feedback on the case studies and themes. These regular calls shall be approximately one hour. During the kick-off call, EPA will discuss the schedule for these calls and issue a technical directive scheduling the calls. Following the kick-off call, EPA shall issue technical direction clarifying the agreed upon schedule for communication and regular project updates, and the list of the first five case studies that the contractor shall draft.

Task 2: Research and Write Case Studies (II A. Research and Policy Analysis, Page 1-9)

The Contractor shall research and write between 10 and 15 case studies. EPA will select the case studies. Case studies shall be approximately two (2) to three (3) pages in length, and demonstrate how each community has become "unstuck" economically. Each case study shall describe what caused initial economic downturn, the process by which the community was able to recover, and discuss to how the community was able to utilize smart growth approaches into their economic turnaround. EPA will identify case studies primarily from those included in Attachment A: List of Potential Case Studies. However EPA may select case studies not from this list.

Wherever possible, each case study shall also include: 1) data such as employment numbers or public/private investment leveraged; 2) 1-2 photos of the community; 3) contact information and citations for each case study. EPA will provide the contractor with a template for the design and layout of the case studies. A sample case study is included as an attachment to this RFO. This case study follows the preferred design and format for case studies. The Contractor shall follow this design template as they draft case studies.

The Contractor shall provide initial drafts of five (5) case studies within 18 calendar days of the kick-off call. EPA will provide comments on these five (5) case studies with 14 days of their receipt. The contractor shall deliver revised versions to EPA within 10 calendar days of receiving EPA comments.

EPA will issue technical direction identifying the number of remaining case studies that the Contractor shall draft. The contractor shall be directed to complete between 5 and 10 additional case studies. These remaining case studies shall be similar to the first five case studies developed under this task in length (two (2) to three (3) pages), format, and subject matter. The remaining case studies shall also demonstrate how small communities, towns, and rural communities have successfully retooled their economy and utilized smart growth approaches as part of that effort. The technical direction will also identify case study locations. Case studies shall focus on examples from the United States. The contractor shall deliver drafts of the remaining case studies within 30 calendar days of the relevant technical direction.

EPA will provide comments on the remaining case studies with 14 days of their receipt. The contractor shall revise the case studies and deliver revised versions to EPA within 10 calendar days of receiving EPA comments.

All case studies shall be delivered in MS Word or another format that is agreed upon by EPA and the Contractor.

Task 3: Identify Key Themes Based on Case Studies (II A. Research and Policy Analysis, Page 1-9)

Based on the 10 to 15 case studies drafted in Task 2 and 15 additional case studies to be provided by EPA, the contractor shall review case studies and identify six (6) to eight (8) common themes that emerge from the case studies and that demonstrate the approaches and methods that small cities, towns, and rural communities are using to reinvent themselves economically. Examples of themes include: public-private partnerships, involvement in emerging regional economies, philanthropic leadership. EPA will issue technical direction identifying the 15 additional case studies. Copies of the case studies will be delivered as part of the technical direction. EPA will issue technical direction within five (5) calendar days of receiving the revised case studies developed in Task 2.

The Contractor shall deliver the results of their research in a five (5) to eight (8) page memo. A first draft of the memo shall be delivered by the Contractor to EPA within 10 calendar days of submitting the final case studies (Task 2). EPA will provide comments on the memo within seven (7) calendar days. The contractor shall submit a revised memo within seven (7) calendar days of receiving EPA comments.

SCHEDULE FOR DELIVERABLES:

The contractor shall provide the following specific deliverables to the EPA TOPO:

	DELIVERABLE	FORM & QUANTITIY	SCHEDULE
Task 1	Participation in Kick-off Call	1 call (estimated 1 hour)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within 14 days of the contract award
Tasks 1, 2, 3	Participation in Regular Calls	2-4 calls (1 hr. each)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TBD , schedule determined during kick-off call
Task 2:	Case Studies	Between 10 and 15 case studies, each case study 2-3 pages in length and in MS Word	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contractor shall deliver 5 case studies within 18 calendar days of the kick-off call • EPA will provide comments on these initial 5 case studies within 14 calendar days • Contractor shall deliver revised versions within 10 calendar days of receiving EPA comments. • Contractor shall deliver drafts of remaining case studies (no more than 10) within 30 calendar days of EPA technical direction. • EPA will provide comments on the remaining case studies with 14 days of their receipt. Contractor shall deliver revised versions to EPA within 10 calendar days of receiving EPA comments.
Task 3 :	Key Themes Memo	1 memo, 5-8 pages, MS Word	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First draft of memo within 10 calendar days of delivering final case studies • EPA will provide comments on the draft memo within 7 calendar days of their receipt. • Revised memo, within 7 calendar days of receipt of EPA comments on initial draft.

Attachment A: List of Potential Case Studies

Allegan, MI	Jefferson City, MO
Ames, IA	Lancaster County, PA
Belfast, ME	Laughlin, NV/Bullhead City, AZ
Beloit, WI	Ludlow, MA
Bemidji, MN	Moscow, ID
Benton Harbor, MI	Mount Morris, NY
Brookings, SD	Olympia, WA
Burlington/Shelburne/Essex, VT	Owensboro, KY
Craftsbury, VT	Pelahatchie, MS
Dubuque, IA	Ranson, WV
El Dorado, AR	Salem, OR
Emporia, KS	Silver City, NM
Fort Collins, CO	Springfield, IL
Gladewater, TX	St. Johnsbury, VT
Grand Traverse area, MI	Swainsboro, GA
Grayson County, VA	Toole County, MT
Greenville, SC	Unity, ME
Greenwood, MS	Valley County, NE
Howard, SD	Victor/Driggs, ID
Independence County, AR	Waverly, IA

Attachment B: Sample Case Study

Rural Competitive Advantage Case Study: El Dorado, Arkansas

El Dorado is located in south-central Arkansas, near the Louisiana border. This rural region's economy has depended on the petroleum and gas industry since 1921, when the discovery of oil propelled explosive growth in El Dorado. The original boom was short-lived, but significant oil reserves were again located and drilling and refining are still important for the region today. One of the largest oil companies in Arkansas has not only kept their headquarters in this small town but also invested directly in its public schools. Civic leaders placed a successful sales tax referendum on the ballot and have used the proceeds to build new projects in El Dorado's downtown. At the same time, many of the historic buildings dating to the town's original oil boom days have been renovated and restored. Combined with engaged leaders and supportive residents, these factors position El Dorado to remain vibrant and prosperous for the long term.

Downtown El Dorado's Rebirth

In the early 1990s, El Dorado's downtown was suffering. Although Murphy Oil—the largest employer in town—operated from a downtown headquarters, little other activity existed. Almost two-thirds of the buildings were vacant and even occupied historic structures suffering from deferred maintenance. At the same time, the town's population was decreasing and enrollment in public schools was declining even faster. A number of developers and business owners stepped up to restore buildings over 70 years old, opening shops and offices throughout a 20-block area. By 2009, the downtown vacancy rate was nearly zero. Coupled with this activity are civic institutions located downtown. One of the local community college's two campuses, known as SouthArk, has long been downtown, and a \$9 million Health Sciences Center was added recently. Also, a multi-purpose 12,000 square-foot conference center was built next door to SouthArk in 2011, and can accommodate up to 2,500 people for events. All of these add vibrancy and help ensure downtown retailers and restaurants can rely on a steady stream of customers. With these successes realized, the Chamber of Commerce is encouraging local developers to further enhance the mix of uses by converting buildings to loft apartments and constructing other residences.

City leaders knew a reinvention of El Dorado would require significant funds from the public sector, and placed a one-cent sales tax increase on a referendum ballot in 2007. Voters overwhelmingly passed the measure, and to date it has produced \$43 million for marketing, branding and new construction, including the El Dorado Conference Center and SouthArk Health Sciences Center. The successful vote was another signal of community support for revitalization, already expressed by the owners and customers of local businesses.



*On the left: Businesses line East Cedar Street in downtown El Dorado. On the right: A statue in Oil Heritage Park.
Photos courtesy of Flickr user Lincoln Adams.*

Looking Forward: El Dorado Promise and Interstate 69

Although the town's population continued to decrease slowly in the last decade according to the U.S. Census, a recent program has helped prevent further decline. In 2007 Murphy Oil took the unprecedented step of providing a \$20 million commitment to high school students for scholarships under the El Dorado Promise program. For students that spend at least four years in El Dorado Public Schools and receive a high school diploma, the program provides the equivalent of four years of tuition at Arkansas public universities, though students may use the award at any college nationwide, public or private. Murphy employees and other El Dorado families alike have jumped at the chance to earn scholarship funds for their children and begun to improve local schools in the process. In 2011, the high school dropout rate fell from 8% to 1%, while 90% of El Dorado High School 2011 graduates enrolled in college. As of 2012, 997 total students had received funds from El Dorado Promise in its first five years. El Dorado Promise could pave the way for a population rebound as scholarships continue to be issued.

The future Interstate 69 is planned to cross southern Arkansas and would connect the area more directly to Shreveport, Louisiana and Memphis, Tennessee, both important hubs for oil and gas in the region. The Federal Highway Administration approved a corridor route just north and west of El Dorado in 2004 and the Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department is poised to begin land acquisition for the project. However, limited funding and the dubious benefits of an all-new interstate highway have repeatedly delayed the project, and even many of its advocates wonder if it will ever be built. In the meantime, El Dorado's leaders have expressed their hopes that I-69 would yield benefits like additional visitors, and plan to minimize negative impacts such as sprawling development and traffic congestion wherever possible.

El Dorado's reinvention has resulted in several honors, including the National Trust for Historic Preservation's 2009 Great American Main Street Award, and distinctions from Arkansas Business Magazine for Best Quality of Life and Best Main Street Preservation in the state. A unique mix of factors—including industry investment in schools, a walkable and lively downtown, and a sales tax levy that directly funds important civic institutions—has yielded this recognition and positioned El Dorado for success. Uncertainties remain, including whether I-69 will be built and if it will detract from the town's recent revitalization, but El Dorado's nearly two decades of investing and promoting its downtown are hopeful signs.

Sources

"City of Distinction Profiles: El Dorado." *ArkansasBusiness.com*. October 17, 2011. Accessed from: http://www.arkansasbusiness.com/people_city.asp?id=8

Dellinger, Matt. *Interstate 69: The Unfinished History of the Last Great American Highway*. New York: Scribner, 2010.

El Dorado Conference Center. City of El Dorado Chamber of Commerce. Accessed from: <http://goeldorado.com/PageDisplay.asp?p1=2510>

Florsheim, Henry. Chief Executive Officer, El Dorado Chamber of Commerce. Personal communication, February 28, 2012.

"Great American Main Street Awards 2009 Winners: El Dorado, AR." National Trust for Historic Preservation. March 1, 2009. Accessed from: <http://www.preservationnation.org/travel-and-sites/travel/gamsa/el-dorado.html>

Mishkovsky, Nadejda, Stephanie Bertaina, Matthew Dalbey, Tad McGaillard, Anna Read. *Putting Smart Growth to Work in Rural Communities*. International City/County Management Association, 2010.

"National Trust Main Street Center Honors El Dorado, Ark. with a Great American Main Street Award." National Trust for Historic Preservation. March 12, 2009. Accessed from: <http://www.preservationnation.org/about-us/press-center/press-releases/2009/national-trust-main-street-1.html>

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 2	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 09/27/2012		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	
5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)		6. ISSUED BY HPOD		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) HPOD	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code) CH2M HILL INC. Attn: NA 9191 S JAMAICA STREET Englewood CO 80112		(x)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.	
				9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)	
		x		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. EP-W-11-011 0018	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) 07/25/2012	
CODE (b)(4)		FACILITY CODE			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☐ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☐ is not extended.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

See Schedule

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
X	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) Extension of the period of performance under the authority of FAR 52.243-2: Changes--Cost Reimbursement

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☒ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ 0 _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

DUNS Number: (b)(4)

TO 0018: Linking Economic Competitiveness and Smart Growth in Small Communities, Towns, and Rural Communities

TOPO: ADHIR KACKAR Max Expire Date: 03/04/2013

The purpose of this modification is to extend the TO period of performance from September 30, 2012 to January 18, 2013.

LIST OF CHANGES:

Reason for Modification : Other Administrative Action

Period Of Performance End Date changed from 30-SEP-12 to 18-JAN-13

Total Amount for this Modification: \$0.00

Continued ...

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Jody Gosnell	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR
CH2M HILL INC.

ITEM NO. (A)	SUPPLIES/SERVICES (B)	QUANTITY (C)	UNIT (D)	UNIT PRICE (E)	AMOUNT (F)
	New Total Amount for this Version: \$0.00 New Total Amount for this Award: \$32,109.68 Period of Performance: 07/25/2012 to 01/18/2013				

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 2	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 002		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 01/23/2013		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	
5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)		6. ISSUED BY HPOD		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) HPOD	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code) CH2M HILL INC. Attn: NA 9191 S JAMAICA STREET Englewood CO 80112		(x)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.	
				9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)	
		x		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. EP-W-11-011 0018	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) 07/25/2012	
CODE (b)(4)		FACILITY CODE			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☐ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☐ is not extended.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

See Schedule

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
X	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) Extension of the period of performance under the authority of FAR 52.243-2: Changes--Cost Reimbursement

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☒ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ 0 _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

DUNS Number: (b)(4)
TO 0018: Linking Economic Competitiveness and Smart Growth in Small Communities, Towns, and Rural Communities
TOPO: ADHIR KACKAR Max Expire Date: 02/15/2013
This modification changes the Task Order period of performance from 1/18/2013 to 2/15/2013 at no additional cost to the Government.

LIST OF CHANGES:

Reason for Modification : Other Administrative Action
Period Of Performance End Date changed from 18-JAN-13 to 15-FEB-13
Continued ...

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Christine Edwards	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED

CONTINUATION SHEET

REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED

EP-W-11-011/0018/002

PAGE OF

2

2

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

CH2M HILL INC.

ITEM NO. (A)	SUPPLIES/SERVICES (B)	QUANTITY (C)	UNIT (D)	UNIT PRICE (E)	AMOUNT (F)
	<p>Contracting Officer changed from Jody Gosnell</p> <p>to Christine Edwards</p> <p>Maximum Potential Expiration Date changed to : 02/15/2013</p> <p>CHANGES FOR LINE ITEM NUMBER: 1</p> <p>End Date changed from 30-SEP-12 to 15-FEB-13</p> <p>Delivery Location Code: HPOD HPOD US Environmental Protection Agency Headquarters Procurement Operations Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington DC 20460 USA</p> <p>FOB: Destination</p> <p>Period of Performance: 07/25/2012 to 02/15/2013</p>				

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 2	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 003		3. EFFECTIVE DATE See Block 16C		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	
6. ISSUED BY HPOD US Environmental Protection Agency Headquarters Procurement Operations Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington DC 20460		CODE HPOD		5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code) CH2M HILL INC. Attn: NA 9191 S JAMAICA STREET Englewood CO 80112		(x)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.	
				9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)	
		x		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. EP-W-11-011 0018	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) 07/25/2012	
CODE	(b)(4)	FACILITY CODE			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☐ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended. ☐ is not extended.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required) Net Decrease: -\$362.66
See Schedule

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
X	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) Deobligation of excess funds under the authority of FAR 43.103(a).

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☒ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ 0 _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

DUNS Number: (b)(4)
TO 0018: Linking Economic Competitiveness and Smart Growth in Small Communities, Towns, and Rural Communities
TOPO: ADHIR KACKAR Max Expire Date: 02/15/2013
The purpose of this modification is to deobligate excess funds in the amount of \$362.66 from DCN W21072. The cost fee breakdown is as seen below:

Cost: (b)(4)
Fee: (b)(4)
Total Cost/Fee: \$ 31,747.02

Continued ...

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Kathryn Barton	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED

CONTINUATION SHEET

REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED

EP-W-11-011/0018/003

PAGE OF

2

2

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

CH2M HILL INC.

ITEM NO. (A)	SUPPLIES/SERVICES (B)	QUANTITY (C)	UNIT (D)	UNIT PRICE (E)	AMOUNT (F)
	<p>LIST OF CHANGES:</p> <p>Reason for Modification : Funding Only Action Obligated Amount for this Modification: -\$362.66 New Total Obligated Amount for this Award: \$31,747.02 Incremental Funded Amount changed: from \$32,109.68 to \$31,747.02</p> <p>CHANGES FOR LINE ITEM NUMBER: 1 Obligated Amount for this modification: -\$362.66 Incremental Funded Amount changed from \$32,109.68 to \$31,747.02</p> <p>CHANGES FOR ACCOUNTING CODE: 12-13-B-11W-301MA4-2505---1211W21072-001 Amount changed from \$24,859.12 to \$24,496.46 FOB: Destination Period of Performance: 07/25/2012 to 02/15/2013</p>				